UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTA P.C. SULL	IVAN	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C09-02876
V. CHASE HOME FINA	NCE, LLC, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
-	Defendant(s).	
	port that they have met and contion pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree	to participate in the following A	DR process:
Ear	cesses: n-binding Arbitration (ADR L.F. rly Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (diation (ADR L.R. 6)	•
appreciably more ADR phone confe	likely to meet their needs than a	nt conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an and They must instead file a Notice of Need for 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Pr ✓ Pri	rocess: vate ADR (please identify proce	ess and provider) Mediation
	d several neutrals to defendants. Defer	ndants will inform Plaintiff of their choice for a neutral
✓ the	to hold the ADR session by: presumptive deadline (The deaderring the case to an ADR process	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
oth	er requested deadline	·
Dated: 10/16/09	_	Wesley M. Lowe Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/16/09		Shay Aaron Gilmore (for all defendants) Attorney for Defendant

Case-3::09-cv-02876-SI Document 50 Filed 10/22/09 Page 220f 22

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.	Suran Illaton
Dated:	OWING DOCUME
	INITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE